

AFFIDAVIT OF DISTRESS OF BOND

To: Hartford Fire Insurance Company
Attn. Tiffany – Schaak Case Manager
7901 Skansie Avenue, Suite 140
PO Box 889
Gig Harbor, Washington [98335]

From: David A. Darby One of the Sovereign people of the state of Washington
PO Box 772
Amboy, Washington [Zip Exempt]

Reference Claim # 564 S 45336
Bond # 52 BSB AT6744

This Affidavit is a Distress on Bonds of the following named defendants named in the Criminal Complaint Affidavit which was filed in Federal District Court under Case # MC-12-5000BHS.

The Criminal Complaint Affidavit gave the federal judge the opportunity to present the case to a Federal Grand Jury. The judge treated the criminal complaint with contempt and did not take this opportunity to do the right thing and present it to a duly empanelled Federal grand jury. Judge Settles attempted to dismiss the Federal criminal complaint unlawfully. No Federal Judge has the authority to dismiss a lawful criminal complaint filed pursuant to Title 18, section 4. Only a grand jury can dismiss a lawful criminal complaint. Just because Federal Judge Settles attempted to dismiss the criminal complaint does not mean the case is over. The Criminal Complaint affidavit still stands as the truth and cannot be dismissed without a counter affidavit of point-by-point rebuttal within the lawfully specified time. Even if the Federal case was unlawfully dismissed, the law of the Constitution is still in place with time ticking on the rebuttal period (90 days).

The attempted unlawful dismissal by Judge Settles left, to all the defendants, the task of answering the affidavit themselves. Most of the defendants treated the criminal complaint with contempt, as did the Federal Judge, and did not lawfully answer the Criminal Complaint Affidavit. **See the following: “The courts must accept an affidavit as true if it is not contradicted by a counter-affidavit or other evidentiary materials.” 3 Am. Jur. 2d Affidavits § 20 (Am. Jur. = American Jurisprudence).**

What this means is that everything that I have documented in the Criminal Complaint Affidavit MC-12-5000BHS is now considered the truth in any court of law in the land. It cannot be rejected by any court of law and the defendants cannot rebut the Affidavit, because the time of rebuttal is long past. Therefore, they have by default, admitted guilt to felonious criminal activity.

The following listed defendants treated this process with contempt and did not take the opportunity to defend themselves of the following listed unlawful crimes. They are now considered criminals in the eyes of the people and the Constitutions that they gave an oath to serve. They disregard their Oath to the Constitution of the United States and the State of Washington, which is the last vestige of justice for the common man on the street.

1. Greg Kimsey; d.b.a. Clark County Auditor. Mr. Kimsey's office officially acknowledged receipt of the Criminal Complaint Affidavit that was filed in Federal District Court under Case # MC-12-5000BHS. He cannot say that he is unaware of what he has done to promote this action against him. Greg Kimsey has broken his Blood Oath to the people of Clark County by not following the Supreme Law of the land, The Constitution of the United States of America, and the lawful 1878 Constitution of the State of Washington. By ignoring the above Criminal Complaint, he has accepted the tenants of the criminal complaint. Therefore, the lawful 1878 Constitution of the State of Washington is valid to use in any case. In so doing, his negligence and crimes have hurt untold numbers of sovereign citizens of Clark County pursuant to Article 2, section 3 of the 1878 Constitution of the State of Washington. Furthermore, he helped perpetuate the crime of theft of the sovereign people's lands by ignoring lawful contracts between the sovereign people and the United States of America. This is a crime directly against the Constitution of the United States Article 1, section 10: "No State shall . . . , pass any Bill of attainder, ex post facto Law, or Law impairing the Obligation of Contracts, or grant any Title of Nobility." The contracts in question are called Land Patents. Greg Kimsey knows of the Land Patent contracts and has told me that the STATE and COUNTY does not recognize them. That is a blatant disregard of the sovereign's rights as a sovereign natural born individual of the state of Washington and of the United States of America.

Greg Kimsey is elected to serve as the auditor of the Corporation of Clark County which is an extension of the Corporation of WASHINGTON STATE, which is an instrumentality of the Corporate UNITED STATES. These are all private Corporations as demonstrated in the Criminal Complaint by the listing of the above corporations in the Dunn and Bradstreet index of Corporations. Therefore, before a private corporation can have jurisdiction over the sovereign people of Constitutional America, the sovereign people must have a signed a contract that is fully explained to the sovereign people and signed by each sovereign that would give the corporation jurisdiction over them. In this case there is none. The fraudulent contract between David Darby and the Corporate UNITED STATES was terminated.

Greg Kimsey has operated as if there is a wet ink signature contract between the sovereign people of the State of Washington, including David A. Darby of Clark County, and the Corporations known as WASHINGTON STATE AND CLARK COUNTY. There are none, therefore he has no jurisdiction to tax or condone the taking of land for nonpayment of unlawful taxes. He was notified of his error and chose to follow the path of theft and confiscation of property. In this case he is condoning the theft of my property worth approximately \$500,000. I have been notified by letter from the CLARK COUNTY TREASURER that foreclosure is eminent.

Greg Kimsey further disregards United States Code **Title 42 U.S.C. Section 1982:** of the U.S. Code: "Property rights of citizens" is part of the civil rights Act of 1871. It is the statute that controls the use of real and personal property. **Government officials must have a monetary or proprietary interest in the real property in question in order to have jurisdiction over it.** The STATE and COUNTY does not own private sovereign land and there are no contracts giving them the rights to the lands of sovereign individuals of the STATE, therefore the STATE and COUNTY cannot lawfully tax or lien real or personal property.

I hereby make claim to the \$100,000 bond of Greg Kimsey for the wrongs done to me in the above statement and listed in Federal District Court Case # MC-12-5000BHS. He has failed to protect my constitutional rights that he swore a Blood Oath to Protect.

2. Mark Boldt; d.b.a. as Clark County Commissioner. Mr. Boldt's office officially acknowledged receipt of the Criminal Complaint Affidavit that was filed in Federal District Court under Case # MC-12-5000BHS. He cannot say that he is unaware of what he as one of the executive officers and the county bureaucracy has done to promote this action. Mark Boldt has broken his Blood Oath to the people of Clark County by not following the Supreme Law of the land, The Constitution of the United States of America, and the lawful 1878 Constitution of the State of Washington. By ignoring the above Criminal Complaint, he has accepted the tenants of the criminal complaint. Therefore, the lawful 1878 Constitution of the State of Washington is valid to use in any case. In so doing, his negligence and crimes have hurt untold numbers of sovereign citizens of Clark County. He has helped perpetuate the crime of theft of the sovereign people's lands by ignoring lawful contracts between the Sovereign people and the United States of America. This is a crime directly against the Constitution of the United States Article 1, section 10: "No State shall ..., pass any Bill of attainder, ex post facto Law, or Law impairing the Obligation of Contracts, or grant any Title of Nobility." The contracts in question are called Land Patents. Mark Boldt knows of the Land Patent contracts and is aware that the STATE and COUNTY does not recognize them. That is a blatant disregard of the sovereign's rights as a sovereign natural born individual of the state of Washington and of the United States of America.

Mark Boldt is elected by the people and is serving as one of the commissioners of the CORPORATION OF CLARK COUNTY, which is an extension of the Corporation of WASHINGTON STATE, which is an instrumentality of the Corporate UNITED STATES. These are all private Corporations as demonstrated in the Criminal Complaint by the listing of the above corporations in the Dunn and Bradstreet index of Corporations. Therefore, before a private corporation can have jurisdiction over the sovereign people of Constitutional America, the sovereign people must have a signed contract that is fully explained to the sovereign people and signed by each sovereign that would give the corporation jurisdiction over them. In this case there is none. The fraudulent contract between David Darby and the Corporate UNITED STATES was terminated.

Mark Boldt has operated as if there is a wet ink signature contract between the sovereign people and David A. Darby of Clark County and the Corporations known as WASHINGTON STATE AND CLARK COUNTY. There are none, therefore he has no jurisdiction to tax or condone the taking of land for nonpayment of unlawful taxes. He was notified of his error and chose to follow the path of theft and confiscation of property. In this case he is condoning the theft of my property worth approximately \$500,000. I have been notified by letter from the CLARK COUNTY TREASURER that foreclosure is eminent.

Mark Boldt further disregards United States Code **Title 42 U.S.C. Section 1982:** of the U.S. Code: "Property rights of citizens" is part of the civil rights Act of 1871. It is the statute that controls the use of real and personal property. **Government officials must have a monetary or proprietary interest in the real property in question in order to have jurisdiction over it.** The STATE and COUNTY does not own private sovereign land and there are no contracts giving them the rights to the lands of sovereign individuals of the STATE, therefore the STATE and COUNTY cannot lawfully tax or lien real or personal property.

I hereby make claim to the \$25,000 bond of Mark Boldt for the wrongs done to me in the above statement and listed in Federal District Court Case # MC-12-5000BHS. He has failed to protect my constitutional rights and all that he swore a Blood Oath to Protect.

3. Steve Stuart, d.b.a. CLARK COUNTY COMMISSIONER. Mr. Stuart's office officially acknowledged receipt of the Criminal Complaint Affidavit that was filed in Federal District Court under Case # MC-12-5000BHS. He cannot say that he is unaware of what he as one of the executive officers and the county bureaucracy has done to promote this action. Steve Stuart has broken his Blood Oath to the people of Clark County by not following the Supreme Law of the land, The Constitution of the United States of America, and the lawful 1878 Constitution of the State of Washington. By ignoring the above Criminal Complaint, he has accepted the tenants of the criminal complaint. Therefore, the lawful 1878 Constitution of the State of Washington is valid to use in any case. In so doing, his negligence and crimes have hurt untold numbers of sovereign citizens of Clark County. He has helped perpetuate the crime of theft of the sovereign people's lands by ignoring lawful contracts between the sovereign people and the United States of America. This is a crime directly against the Constitution of the United States Article 1, section 10: "No State shall ..., pass any Bill of attainder, ex post facto Law, or Law impairing the Obligation of Contracts, or grant any Title of Nobility." The contracts in question are called Land Patents. Steve Stuart knows of the Land Patent contracts and is aware that the STATE and COUNTY does not recognize them. That is a blatant disregard of the sovereign's rights as a sovereign natural born individual of the state of Washington and of the United States of America.

Steve Stuart is elected by the people and is serving as one of the commissioners of the CORPORATION OF CLARK COUNTY, which is an extension of the Corporation of WASHINGTON STATE, which is an instrumentality of the Corporate UNITED STATES. These are all private Corporations as demonstrated in the Criminal Complaint by the listing of the above corporations in the Dunn and Bradstreet index of Corporations. Therefore, before a private corporation can have jurisdiction over the sovereign people of Constitutional America, they must have a signed contract that is fully explained to the sovereign people and signed by each sovereign that would give the corporation jurisdiction over them. In this case there is none. The fraudulent contract between David Darby and the Corporate UNITED STATES was terminated.

Steve Stuart has operated as if there is a wet ink signature contract between the sovereign people and David A. Darby of Clark County and the Corporations known as WASHINGTON STATE AND CLARK COUNTY. There are none, therefore he has no jurisdiction to tax or condone the taking of land for nonpayment of unlawful taxes. He was notified of his error and chose to follow the path of theft and confiscation of property. In this case he is condoning the theft of my property worth approximately \$500,000. I have been notified by letter from the CLARK COUNTY TREASURER that foreclosure is eminent.

Steve Stuart further disregards United States Code **Title 42 U.S.C. Section 1982:** of the U.S. Code: "Property rights of citizens" is part of the civil rights Act of 1871. It is the statute that controls the use of real and personal property. **Government officials must have a monetary or proprietary interest in the real property in question in order to have jurisdiction over it.** The STATE and COUNTY does not own private sovereign land and there are no contracts giving them the rights to the lands of sovereign individuals of the STATE, therefore the STATE and COUNTY cannot lawfully tax or lien real or personal property.

I hereby make claim to the \$25,000 bond of Steve Stuart for the wrongs done to me in the above statement and listed in Federal District Court Case # MC-12-5000BHS. He has failed to protect my constitutional rights and all that he swore a Blood Oath to Protect.

4. Tony Golik, d.b.a. CLARK COUNTY PROSECUTING ATTORNEY. Mr. Golik's office officially acknowledged receipt of the Criminal Complaint Affidavit that was filed in Federal District Court under Case # MC-12-5000BHS. He cannot say that he is unaware of what he as the Prosecuting Attorney of CORPORATE CLARK COUNTY and the county bureaucracy has done to promote this action. Tony Golik has broken his Blood Oath to the people of Clark County by not following the Supreme Law of the land, The Constitution of the United States of America, and the lawful 1878 Constitution of the State of Washington. By ignoring the above Criminal Complaint, he has accepted the tenants of the criminal complaint. Therefore, the lawful 1878 Constitution of the State of Washington is valid to use in any case. In so doing, his negligence and crimes have hurt untold numbers of sovereign citizens of Clark County. He has helped perpetuate the crime of theft of the sovereign people's lands by ignoring lawful contracts between the people and the United States of America. This is a crime directly against the Constitution of the United States Article 1, section 10: "No State shall . . . , pass any Bill of attainder, ex post facto Law, or Law impairing the Obligation of Contracts, or grant any Title of Nobility." The contracts in question are called Land Patents. Tony Golik knows of the Land Patent contracts and is aware that the STATE and COUNTY does not recognize them. That is a blatant disregard of the sovereign's rights as a sovereign natural born individual of the state of Washington and of the United States of America.

Tony Golik is elected by the people and is serving as Prosecuting Attorney of the CORPORATION OF CLARK COUNTY, which is an extension of the Corporation of WASHINGTON STATE, which is an instrumentality of the Corporate UNITED STATES. These are all private Corporations as demonstrated in the Criminal Complaint by the listing of the above corporations in the Dunn and Bradstreet index of Corporations. Therefore, before a private corporation can have jurisdiction over the sovereign people of Constitutional America, they must have a signed contract that is fully explained to the sovereign people and signed by each sovereign that would give the corporation jurisdiction over them. In this case there is none. The fraudulent contract between David Darby and the Corporate UNITED STATES was terminated.

Tony Golik has operated as if there is a wet ink signature contract between the sovereign people and David A. Darby of Clark County and the Corporations known as WASHINGTON STATE AND CLARK COUNTY. There are none, therefore he has no jurisdiction to tax or condone the taking of land for nonpayment of unlawful taxes. He was notified of his error and chose to follow the path of theft and confiscation of property. In this case he is condoning the theft of my property worth approximately \$500,000. I have been notified by letter from the CLARK COUNTY TREASURER that foreclosure is eminent.

Tony Golik further disregards United States Code **Title 42 U.S.C. Section 1982:** of the U.S. Code: "Property rights of citizens" is part of the civil rights Act of 1871. It is the statute that controls the use of real and personal property. **Government officials must have a monetary or proprietary interest in the real property in question in order to have jurisdiction over it.** The STATE and COUNTY does not own private sovereign land and there are no contracts giving them the rights to the lands of sovereign individuals of the STATE, therefore the STATE and COUNTY cannot lawfully tax or lien real or personal property.

I hereby make claim to the \$25,000 bond of Tony Golik for the wrongs done to me in the above statement and listed in Federal District Court Case # MC-12-5000BHS. He has failed to protect my constitutional rights and all that he swore a Blood Oath to Protect.

5. Gary Lucas; d.b.a. as CLARK COUNTY SHERIFF. Gary Lucas office officially acknowledged receipt of the Criminal Complaint Affidavit that was filed in Federal District Court under Case # MC-12-5000BHS. He cannot say that he is unaware of what he as the CLARK COUNTY SHERIFF and the county bureaucracy has done to promote this action. Gary Lucas has broken his Blood Oath to the people of Clark County by not following the Supreme Law of the land, The Constitution of the United States of America, and the lawful 1878 Constitution of the State of Washington. By ignoring the above Criminal Complaint, he has accepted the tenants of the criminal complaint. Therefore, the lawful 1878 Constitution of the State of Washington is valid to use in any case. In so doing, his negligence and crimes have hurt untold numbers of sovereign citizens of Clark County. He has helped perpetuate the crime of theft of the sovereign people's lands by ignoring lawful contracts between the people and the United States of America. This is a crime directly against the Constitution of the United States Article 1, section 10: "No State shall . . . , pass any Bill of attainder, ex post facto Law, or Law impairing the Obligation of Contracts, or grant any Title of Nobility." These contracts in question are called Land Patents. Gary Lucas knows of the Land Patent contracts and is aware that the STATE and COUNTY does not recognize them. That is a blatant disregard of the sovereign's rights as a sovereign natural born individual of the state of Washington and of the United States of America.

Gary Lucas is elected by the people and is serving as SHERIFF of the CORPORATION OF CLARK COUNTY, which is an extension of the Corporation of WASHINGTON STATE, which is an instrumentality of the Corporate UNITED STATES. These are all private Corporations as demonstrated in the Criminal Complaint by the listing of the above corporations in the Dunn and Bradstreet index of Corporations. Therefore, before a private corporation can have jurisdiction over the sovereign people of Constitutional America, they must have a signed contract that is fully explained to the sovereign people and signed by each sovereign that would give the corporation jurisdiction over them. In this case there is none. The fraudulent contract between David Darby and the Corporate UNITED STATES was terminated.

Gary Lucas has operated as if there is a wet ink signature contract between the sovereign people and David A. Darby of Clark County and the Corporations known as WASHINGTON STATE AND CLARK COUNTY. There are none, therefore he has no jurisdiction to tax or condone the taking of land for nonpayment of unlawful taxes. He was notified of his error and chose to follow the path of theft and confiscation of property. In my case he is condoning the theft of my property worth approximately \$500,000. I have been notified by letter from the CLARK COUNTY TREASURER that foreclosure is eminent.

Gary Lucas further disregards United States Code **Title 42 U.S.C. Section 1982:** of the U.S. Code: "Property rights of citizens" is part of the civil rights Act of 1871. It is the statute that controls the use of real and personal property. **Government officials must have a monetary or proprietary interest in the real property in question in order to have jurisdiction over it.** The STATE and COUNTY does not own private sovereign land and there are no contracts giving them the rights to the lands of sovereign individuals of the STATE, therefore the STATE and COUNTY cannot lawfully tax or lien real or personal property.

I hereby make claim to the \$50,000 bond of Gary Lucas for the wrongs done to me in the above statement and listed in Federal District Court Case # MC-12-5000BHS. He has failed to protect my constitutional rights and all that he swore a Blood Oath to Protect.

6. Doug Lasher; d.b.a. CLARK COUNTY TREASURER. Mr. Lasher's office officially acknowledged receipt of the Criminal Complaint Affidavit that was filed in Federal District Court under Case # MC-12-5000BHS. He cannot say that he is unaware of what he has done to promote this action. Doug Lasher has broken his Blood Oath to the people of Clark County by not following the Supreme Law of the land, The Constitution of the United States of America, and the lawful 1878 Constitution of the State of Washington. By ignoring the above Criminal Complaint, he has accepted the tenants of the criminal complaint. Therefore, the lawful 1878 Constitution of the State of Washington is valid to use in any case. In so doing, his negligence and crimes have hurt untold numbers of sovereign citizens of Clark County. He has helped perpetuate the crime of theft of the sovereign people's lands by ignoring lawful contracts between the people and the United States of America. This is a crime directly against the Constitution of the United States Article 1, section 10: "No State shall ..., pass any Bill of attainder, ex post facto Law, or Law impairing the Obligation of Contracts, or grant any Title of Nobility." These contracts in question are called Land Patents. Doug Lasher knows of the Land Patent contracts and knows that the STATE and COUNTY does not recognize them. That is a blatant disregard of the sovereign's rights as a sovereign natural born individual of the state of Washington and of the United States of America. That is a blatant disregard of the sovereign's rights as a citizen of the United States of America.

Doug Lasher is elected to serve as the TREASURE of the CORPORATION OF CLARK COUNTY which is an extension of the Corporation of WASHINGTON STATE, which is an instrumentality of the Corporate UNITED STATES. These are all private Corporations as demonstrated in the Criminal Complaint by the listing of the above corporations in the Dunn and Bradstreet index of Corporations. Therefore, before a private corporation can have jurisdiction over the sovereign people of Constitutional America, they must have a signed contract that is fully explained to the sovereign people and signed by each sovereign that would give the corporation jurisdiction over them. In this case there is none. The fraudulent contract between David Darby and the Corporate UNITED STATES was terminated.

Doug Lasher has operated as if there is a wet ink signature contract between the sovereign people and David A. Darby of Clark County and the Corporations known as WASHINGTON STATE AND CLARK COUNTY. There are none, therefore he has no jurisdiction to tax or condone the taking of land for nonpayment of unlawful taxes. He was notified of his error and chose to follow the path of theft and confiscation of property. In my case he is condoning the theft of my property worth approximately \$500,000. I have been notified by letter from the CLARK COUNTY TREASURER that foreclosure is eminent.

Doug Lasher further disregards United States Code **Title 42 U.S.C. Section 1982:** of the U.S. Code: "Property rights of citizens" is part of the civil rights Act of 1871. It is the statute that controls the use of real and personal property. **Government officials must have a monetary or proprietary interest in the real property in question in order to have jurisdiction over it.** The STATE and COUNTY does not own private sovereign land and there are no contracts giving them the rights to the lands of sovereign individuals of the STATE, therefore the STATE and COUNTY cannot lawfully tax or lien real or personal property.

I hereby make claim to the \$250,000 bond of Doug Lasher for the wrongs done to me in the above statement and listed in Federal District Court Case # MC-12-5000BHS. He has failed to protect my constitutional rights and all that he swore a Blood Oath to Protect.

7. Peter Van Nortwick d.b.a. CLARK COUNTY ASSESSOR. Peter Van Nortwick's office officially acknowledged receipt of the Criminal Complaint Affidavit that was filed in Federal District Court under Case # MC-12-5000BHS. He cannot say that he is unaware of what he has done to promote this action. Peter Van Nortwick has broken his Blood Oath to the people of Clark County by not following the Supreme Law of the land, The Constitution of the United States of America, and the lawful 1878 Constitution of the State of Washington. By ignoring the above Criminal Complaint, he has accepted the tenants of the criminal complaint. Therefore, the lawful 1878 Constitution of the State of Washington is valid to use in any case. In so doing, his negligence and crimes have hurt untold numbers of sovereign citizens of Clark County. He has helped perpetuate the crime of theft of the sovereign people's lands by ignoring lawful contracts between the people and the United States of America. This is a crime directly against the Constitution of the United States Article 1, section 10: "No State shall ..., pass any Bill of attainder, ex post facto Law, or Law impairing the Obligation of Contracts, or grant any Title of Nobility." These contracts in question are called Land Patents. Peter Van Nortwick knows of the Land Patent contracts and knows that the STATE and COUNTY do not recognize them. That is a blatant disregard of the sovereign's rights as a sovereign natural born individual of the state of Washington and of the United States of America.

Peter Van Nortwick is elected to serve as the ASSESSOR OF THE CORPORATION OF CLARK COUNTY which is an extension of the Corporation of WASHINGTON STATE, which is an instrumentality of the Corporate UNITED STATES. These are all private Corporations as demonstrated in the Criminal Complaint by the listing of the above corporations in the Dunn and Bradstreet index of Corporations. Therefore, before a private corporation can have jurisdiction over the sovereign people of Constitutional America, they must have a signed contract that is fully explained to the sovereign people and signed by each sovereign that would give the corporation jurisdiction over them. In this case there is none. The fraudulent contract between David Darby and the Corporate UNITED STATES was terminated.

Peter Van Nortwick has operated as if there is a wet ink signature contract between the sovereign people and David A. Darby of Clark County and the Corporations known as WASHINGTON STATE AND CLARK COUNTY. There are none, therefore he has no jurisdiction to assess tax or condone the taking of land for nonpayment of unlawful taxes. He was notified of his error and chose to follow the path of theft and confiscation of property. In my case he is condoning the theft of my property worth approximately \$500,000. I have been notified by letter from the CLARK COUNTY TREASURER that foreclosure is eminent.

Peter Van Nortwick further disregards United States Code **Title 42 U.S.C. Section 1982:** of the U.S. Code: "Property rights of citizens" is part of the civil rights Act of 1871. It is the statute that controls the use of real and personal property. **Government officials must have a monetary or proprietary interest in the real property in question in order to have jurisdiction over it.** The STATE and COUNTY does not own private sovereign land and there are no contracts giving them the rights to the lands of sovereign individuals of the STATE, therefore the STATE and COUNTY cannot lawfully tax or lien real or personal property.

I hereby make claim to the \$5,000 bond of Peter Van Nortwick for the wrongs done to me in the above statement and listed in Federal District Court Case # MC-12-5000BHS. He has failed to protect my constitutional rights and all that he swore a Blood Oath to Protect.

Ledger of bonds being distressed	Greg Kimsey	\$100,000.00
	Mark Boldt	\$25,000.00
	Steve Stuart	\$25,000.00
	Tony Golik	\$25,000.00
	Gary Lucas	\$50,000.00
	Doug Lasher	\$250,00.00
	<u>Peter Van Nortwick</u>	<u>\$5,000.00</u>
	Grand Total	\$480,000.00

Affidavit of Fact

Commercial Processes Defined by the Bill of Rights

The 5th Amendment of the Constitution for the United States of America determines the legitimate grounds for passing through the portals of the courthouse and for using the tax-financed court.

All processes in Commerce are legislated, judicated (adjudicated), executed, challenged, rebutted, and consummated by the parties in Commerce within the realm of Economics, labor, contracts, surety, credit, liens, distresses and honorable combat by reason—**all without the Courts.**

Only those processes belong in the tax-financed court which will not be resolved without libel, slander, violence, and dueling, human sacrifice through mortal combat, double jeopardy, self destruction, adverse possession or eminent domain.

The first four Amendments (1-4) of the Bill of Rights keep Commerce on the streets, outside of the courts and out of the public tax coffers.

The second four Amendments (5-8) of the Bill of Rights keep violence off the streets and under the control of government.

The last two Amendments (9-10) guarantee that all persons shall have a remedy by law, either natural law or social law.

The First Amendment protects Truth by Affidavit.

The Second Amendment protects Citizens acting under the First Amendment from government retaliation against witnesses.

The Third Amendment keeps the agents of government from holding potluck dinner wherever its agents want to.

The Fourth Amendment protects the public from a government, which takes from Citizens by bearing false witness.

The Fifth Amendment is intended to keep the courthouse doors closed against the capricious and unlawful use of public tax money, and, for example, prohibits and outlaws the private

use of its facilities by an organized labor union known as the Bar Association.

The Sixth Amendment provides a method of maintaining the commercial continuity of the nation while at the same time it prevents the government from converting the courthouse into a profitable commercial enterprise, a witch hunting institution, a public slaughterhouse, and a political genocide institution.

The Seventh Amendment provides and guarantees a method of accessing public wisdom and sensibility to establish the fair market value of commercial controversies, injuries, and violations.

The Eighth Amendment forbids government to terrorize the public to assert government's will. It demands that the punishment of crimes be proportional to the degree of public offense. It is well known that **all governments rule by force**, that power corrupts, and that absolute power corrupts absolutely. Therefore the Eighth Amendment is provided and serves to limit the expansion of corruption.

The Ninth Amendment allows the Citizen to create a remedy by Affidavit.

The Tenth Amendment empowers the same Citizen to exercise an un-rebutted choice of remedy.

You have three weeks statute or 21 day Jewish to answer the above demands in a point by point Affidavit.

CERTIFICATION

I, David A. Darby, Sovereign Affiant, of the State of Washington pursuant Article 2, Section 3 of the lawful 1878 Constitution of the State of Washington, certify and affirm on my own commercial liability, that I have read the foregoing and know the content thereof and that, to the best of my knowledge that the above Affidavit is True, Correct, Complete and not Misleading, to the best of my Knowledge. The truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth, So Help Me God.

Signed: _____

NOTARY
SEAL

[STATE] _____) s.s.:

[COUNTY] _____)

On this _____ day of _____, 20____,

The above signatory appears before me personally with picture ID and executes the forgoing instrument and acknowledges this to be his/her free act and deed.

_____ My Commission Expires: _____

Notary Public