

02  
COPY  
ORIGINAL FILED  
DEC 18 2012

AFFIDAVIT OF DEMAND  
AFFIDAVIT OF FACT

To: Doug Lasher d.b.a Clark County Treasurer  
PO Box 5000, Clark, Clark Co.  
Scott G. Weber, Clerk, Clark Co.  
Vancouver, Washington 98666-5000

From: David A. Darby Sovereign Citizen of the state of Washington  
PO Box 772  
Amboy, Washington [Zip Exempt]

*Doug Lasher*  
8/14/12

Dear Mr. Lasher: I agree up to a point that what you have said in your first paragraph on letter dated July 24<sup>th</sup> 2012 that Clark County can only accept money issued by the United States Treasury. That is true. That is the procedure that is used when Clark County receives funds that are directed to the county from the Congress of the UNITED STATES. This debt instrument did not originate in the Congress, it was the result of unconstitutional activity by a federal judge in Case # CR96-500C and CR 96-281M, and therefore it has to be handled differently. This debt instrument (not a check) that was issued to Clark County and every other county in the state of Washington as a result of a criminal complaint against a Federal Judge. (I would be happy to provide you with a copy of the Affidavit of Criminal Complaint Case # CR96-500C and CR96-281M). The county accepted the debt instrument and did not return it to Mr. Hartford VanDyke therefore it is still a valuable asset to Clark County and the people of Clark County, if Clark County chooses to monetize it.

Using your logic, since this debt instrument did not originate in the congress, the debt instrument in question would operate under a different set of rules. The easiest way to monetize this debt instrument is to submit it to Jamie Herrera Beutler, our representative in the UNITED STATES Congress. Direct her to submit it through the congress as an account payable. Once approved by congress and turned over to the Treasury dept for payment, you will see that the money involved would be transferred to Clark County as you expected. There are other ways to monetize this instrument and I would be glad to sit down and discuss this if you are interested in monetizing the \$125,761,480 debt instrument, which has increased in value to over \$700 Million, thanks to marvel of compound interest. It will never be monetized until you submit it to congress or do something proactive to accomplish the monetization.

That leads me to my next question. Is Clark County interested in helping the people of Clark County and taking the initiative to start the process of monetizing this instrument? The Clark County government has had this instrument in their possession for 15 years. I, David A. Darby acting in my capacity as trustee of the above mentioned funds must **Demand** that you either monetize or reject the people's lawful money and return the debt instrument back to the Hartford VanDyke Public Wealth Rebate Bank/Trust so that it can be monetized by someone else with the best interests of the people of Clark County in mind. You need to understand that this money can only be used to benefit the people of the county.

I will take your information under advisement about the tax assessor. You, the auditor, two commissioners, the assessor, etc. in county government did not answer the Affidavit of Criminal Complaint that addressed this matter quite thoroughly. The matter is now closed. Since most of the county officials did not answer the affidavit through a point by point Affidavit of Rebuttal, they have all lost the ability to do anything about it. **See the following: "The courts must accept an affidavit as true if it is not contradicted by a counter-affidavit or other evidentiary materials." 3 Am. Jur. 2d Affidavits § 20 (Am. Jur. = American Jurisprudence)**

Please refer to the Criminal Complaint that was filed against you and others in Clark County government. You should read it and absorb what has been accomplished by that affidavit. Read the last sentence in the above paragraph again. What it means is that everything that I have said in the Criminal Complaint