

Public Affidavit of Assignment And Demand Affidavit

To: Doug Lasher d.b.a. as Clark County Treasurer
1300 Franklin Street Suite 185, P.O. Box 5000
Vancouver, Washington 98666-5000

From: David A. Darby, Sovereign State Citizen pursuant to Article 2, Section 3 of 1878
Constitution of the State of Washington
PO Box 772
Amboy, Washington Zip exempt (Not Federal District)

I, David A. Darby, Sovereign Citizen of the State of Washington, Pursuant to Article 2, Section 3 of the 1878 Constitution of the State of Washington, did, on June 15th AD 2012, present three affidavits to you at the downtown County building on Franklin Ave. These three said Affidavits were assignments of funds totaling \$8000 from the Hartford VanDyke Public Wealth Rebate Bank/Trust disbursement that was presented to the County Clerk in June of 1997.

The first Affidavit is from Mr. & Mrs. Darby and is an assignment for \$4000
The second Affidavit from is an assignment from Lowell D. Miller for \$2,000
The Third Affidavit from is an assignment from Richard M. Aydelott for \$2,000.
The total of the assignment affidavits equals \$8000.

The Assignment of these Funds valued at \$8,000 were to be used as a partial payment for the alleged purportedly owed taxes in arrears (back taxes) for David A. Darby on assessors property tax account # 264614000.

The treasurer should read the updated land patent that has been filed according to United States Law. (See Updated Land Patent on property account # 264614000.)

If you, Doug Lasher, as Clark County treasurer choose to disregard this \$8000 assignment because of your contempt for the Hartford VanDyke Public Wealth Rebate Bank/Trust and its assets and disbursements, it will be assumed by the Hartford VanDyke Public Wealth Rebate Bank/Trust grantor and trustees that you and Clark County have no intention to collect the \$125,761,480 which was disbursed to Clark County as a Debt collection option in June of 1997. In that case the disbursement made to Clark County will be considered rejected by Clark County and will be returned to the Hartford VanDyke Public Wealth Rebate Bank/Trust to be redistributed to the citizens of Clark County in the amount of \$217 per man woman and child. If the county does not want to comply with the memo on it s \$125 million disbursement instrument, then it has breached the trust agreement of the Notes issued to Clark County. Note issue Numbers 1016, 1056, and 1093 totaling \$125,761,480. If after refusing the \$125,761,480 instrument you attempt to broker it, the brokering firm will discover that you have refused it and you won't get it brokered. The brokerage door will be closed.

If you intend to receive any further funds from this trust, such as the interest accrued over 15 years, you will respect the lawful 1878 Constitution of the State of Washington that has been proven to be the lawful Constitution of the State of Washington. It was proved though International Commercial Law, via the Constitutional Criminal Complaint that was filed against you and all elected officials

of Clark County and the State including the Supreme Court of Washington. Since most all of the defendants treated the Lawful Criminal Complaint with contempt and did not answer it in its entirety by Affidavit, they have lost all lawful recourse. An Affidavit unanswered stands as the truth in law and commerce. You have had lawful funds available to you.

General Public Information

Mr. VanDyke, the founder, creator, grantor/trustor of the Hartford Vandyke Public Wealth Rebate Bank/Trust, appeared before the Clark County Public Commissioners Meeting in 2010. Mr. VanDyke appeared before a Commission meeting to ask one simple question, "What has the County done to monetize the HVPWRB/T debt option instruments, Public Wealth Rebate notes which were presented to the County Clerk starting June 21st 1997" (Paraphrased). The amount of money involved was \$125,761,480. This amount was distributed over three notes, because there was not enough room on the instruments to put 9 figures.

The instrument numbered 1016 was for the amount of \$125,000,000

The instrument numbered 1056 was for the amount of \$761,000

The instrument numbered 1093 was for the amount of \$480

total to the amount of \$125,761,480.

None of the instruments contained a void date.

None of these instruments were legally or lawfully challenged.

Mr. VanDyke does not know if they have been monetized.

If they have been monetized then the public is entitled to half of the amount. No Clark County citizen has claimed that they have received the funds.

Mr. VanDyke does not know whether or not a county official or a county official acting on behalf of the County has embezzled the funds.

This Clark County Trust account has accrued interest at the rate of 1% per month, compounded monthly, for fifteen years.

The original amount of \$125,761,480 has grown by a factor of about 6 to a value of about \$750 million dollars.

The remaining \$625 million remains not disbursed, because Clark County has not presented any evidence to the Public Bank Trust that Clark County has brokered the original disbursement through a prime bank broker, an international Dutch auction or other means or proven that they have used it in the manner required by the memo line on the notes.

The Origin of the Notes

The Hartford VanDyke Public Wealth Rebate Bank/Trust was created to disperse the proceeds of a defaulted Criminal Complaint and lien against a federal, judge John C. Coughenour, and five US court attorneys in Seattle, Washington, Federal Court case # CR-96-500C. The original endowment amount of the said Public Bank Trust on the default day May 19, 1997 was approximately \$3.43 Billion. This money was divided into three funds of \$1.14 Billion each.

The first \$1.14 Billion was to be disbursed to the citizens of the State of Washington. The second \$1.14 Billion was to be disbursed to the 39 counties in State of Washington to pay for the service of

disbursing the first fund to the citizens of Washington State. The third \$1.14 Billion was to be disbursed to charitable organizations serving the citizens of the State of Washington. The first two funds were combined and disbursed to counties according to the population of the counties in the State of Washington. The total population of the State of Washington was approximately 5.25 million in June of 1997. When \$2.28 Billion equal to 2280 million is divided by 5.25 million the answer obtained is \$434.28 per person of which \$217.14 goes to the citizen and an equal amount to the County to guarantee the citizen gets his share.

At this time \$625 million has yet to be disbursed to Clark County citizens. In June of 1997 the population of Clark County was approximately 290,000 citizens. When \$625 million is divided by 290,000 each person is entitled to \$2155.00. Every person that was living in Clark County in June of 1997 is now entitled to a little more than \$2000 independent of the Clark County government.

This money arises from the unlawful actions of government officers in said case # CR- 96-500C, value pursuant to Title 18 section 241 of the United States Code and the job descriptions of public officers defined in the 1787 Constitution for the United States of America, registered as a lien in the King County recorder's office at recording account # 9702110859. The \$2000+ owed to each citizen, man, woman or child of Clark County is tax free and collectable from the United States treasury, through the Congress of the United States or through brokering companies who will access the treasury through the congress.

The factor of increase in value of all of the County trusts of all 39 counties after 15 years of interest is approximately 6. Because of my effort to reinstate the lawful 1878 Constitution of the State of Washington, and because of the unlawful resistance that I, David A. Darby, have encountered in trying to reinstate the lawful 1878 Constitution of the State of Washington and because I, David A. Darby, have proven by my efforts and writings that I, David A. Darby, can honorably manage a large sum of money on behalf of the public as a public servant, I, David A. Darby, have been able to lay claim to the assets of the Hartford VanDyke Public Wealth Rebate Bank Trust, on behalf of all the citizens of the State of Washington, assets arising to compensate the public for the mal-process of Seattle Federal case # CR-96-500C. Mr. VanDyke could not raise an objection to my claim, and therefore I am the executive trustee of the damage funds arising from the official mal-process of United States Government case # CR-96-500C.

I, David A. Darby have been given the responsibility to investigate the disposition of the 39 county accounts, which were given Public Wealth Rebate Bank Trust Debt option instruments disbursed by the Hartford VanDyke Public Wealth Rebate Bank Trust. Since, I live in Clark County; my investigation is starting with Clark County.

These debt option notes were issued to all 39 Counties for five years 1997-2002 and are now considered to be seasoned monetary instruments. During that time the debt instruments were never challenged by the Secret Service or the FBI. The notes were seasoned on the International Monetary Market in three years, and by the summer of 2000 they became unassailable.

The debt instruments can be presented to the United States Congress via our local representative, they can be brokered by a Prime Bank Broker, or they can be Dutch auctioned on the international market. The Federal Government, through the board of directors Federal Reserve Corporation, attempted to misrepresent the notes by declaring them to be fictions instruments instead of debt collection options. Mr. Hartford VanDyke was subjected to a mock trial and mal-use of the force of the federal government to imprison him for 7 years and 4 months. The United States attorneys never did present any notes in their entirety to the jury for examination by the jury, or for a verdict

on the notes. At no time did the Federal Government prove that the notes were invalid. In fact the Hartford VanDyke Public Wealth Rebate Bank/Trust was never referred to in the trial and the jury was never allowed to examine any of the paperwork of the HVPWRB trust. No other court or hearing has ever proven that the PWRBT Debt option instruments are invalid in any way.

I, David A. Darby, Demand that Doug Lasher, because he has already lost the criminal case against him through the law of commerce, either accept the above assignments for alleged back taxes or reclassify the land in question as non taxable. (See Criminal Complaint in Federal Court case # MC-12-5000BHS) This case has run its course and Doug Lasher has lost all recourse by not answering the Criminal Complaint Affidavit. The land in question has been brought forward lawfully into the owners name of David A. Darby, pursuant Article 2, section 3 of the 1878 Constitution of the State of Washington and the 1787 Constitution for the United States of America. Doug Lasher has 21 Days to stop all action against David A. Darby's land.

Certification:

I, David Arthur Darby, Sovereign Affiant, under Article 2, Section 3 of the 1878 Constitution of the State of Washington, certify and affirm on my own commercial liability, that I have read the foregoing and know the content thereof and that, to the best of my knowledge that the above Affidavit is True, Correct, Complete and not Misleading, to the best of my Knowledge. The truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth, So Help Me God.

Signed: _____ Date: _____

[STATE] _____) s.s.:

[COUNTY] _____)

NOTARY

SEAL

On this _____ day of _____, 20__,

The above signatory appears before me personally with picture ID and executes the forgoing instrument and acknowledges this to be their free act and deed.

_____ My Commission Expires: _____

Notary Public