1 2	Name: David A. Darby Status: State Freeman, Non resident Alien to the	<u> •</u>		
	The UNITED STATES and WASHINGTON STATE Address: PO Box 110; Amboy, Washington Non-Domestic			
3	Telephone: 360-606-8009	in Bolliestic		
4				
5				
6	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF			
7	UTAH			
8	<u>UTAH</u> DIVISION			
9	B :14 B 1			
10	David A Darby Freeman			
	PLAINTIFF	CIVIL COMPLAINT		
11		CIVIL COMPLAINT Ignoring Legal Contracts Promoting		
12	Vs.	County Theft of Private		
13	Greg Kimsey	Property in Clark County, Washington		
14	Auditor for	w asimigton		
15	Clark County State of Washington			
16	DEFENDANT	Case: 2:10cv00053		
17		Assigned To: Warner, Paul M. Assigned Date: 1/25/2010 Assigned Date: 1/25/2010		
		Description: Darby v. Kimsey		
18				
19				
20				
21	A. JURISDICTION			
22	Jurisdiction is proper in this court according to:			
23	A. 1787 Constitution for the Uni	ted States: Art I, Sect. 10; Art. IV, sect.		
24	2,3,4; Art. VI; Amendments	I, VII, IX.		
25		ubsection 271. Foreign Persons Generally. 1 states: United States district court		
	should take jurisdiction of su	iit in admiralty, even though parties		
26		<u>s</u> or Foreign corporations, if the claim , unless special grounds are shown why		
27	court should decline jurisdic	tion. Barkas v. Cia Naviera Coronado, S		
28	A. S>D>N>Y> 1954. 126 F.s	supp. 532.		

1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	

28

C. 1878 CONSTITUTION of the State of Washington, the constitution approved by congress and used in the enabling act dated February 22, 1889 to officially make Washington a state. 1878 Constitution of the State of Washington, Article II, Section 3; Article XV, and Article XVI, Section 1,2,3.

Jurisdiction is warranted due to the fact the current 1889 constitution was never accepted by the US congress as a republican form of government as the law requires.

- D. 1783 Treaty of Paris Article 1. State and Individual Sovereignty.
- E. Common Law of the Freeman of the United States of America.
- F. 42 USC 1982,1983. Government officials must have a monetary or proprietary interest in the real property in question in order to have jurisdiction over it.

B. Parties Names and addresses

NAME OF PLAINTIFF <u>David A. Darby Freeman</u>
Is a sovereign freeman residing in the State of Washington
Present Mailing address: PO Box 110
Amboy, Washington Non-Domestic

I David A. Darby bring forth this case as a Sovereign born freeman residing in the State of Washington. I demand my rights as a sovereign to be heard in the law that a sovereign swears his allegiance. That is the common law of the freeman expressed as Article XV of the 1878 CONSTITUTION of the State of Washington. Common law is also the law of the 1787 Constitution for the United States of America. Freemen are not subject to Admiralty or better known as Statute law.

NAME OF FIRST DEFENDANT: Greg Kimsey,						
IS A CITIZEN OF	Vancouver, Washington					
IS ELECTED AS	County Auditor	at Clark County,				
Washington.	•	-				

C. Complaint

The defendant Greg Kimsey owes a duty of care to the plaintiff and to the rule of law; that the defendant breached that duty; and that, as a result, the plaintiff suffered damages. Greg Kimsey as the elected auditor for the county of Clark in Washington state, has broken his oath of office and the rule of law to both the 1787 Constitution for the United States and the 1878 CONSTITUTION of the State of Washington. Greg Kimsey has been repeatedly been informed as to my status and that my status has been legally recorded. My termination and declaration through sworn affidavit have been legally recorded with both the county clerk and superior court of Clark County under superior court document 08 2 02745 1. As a Sovereign Freeman, my status and all other residents born in their respective states have been granted this status through the signing of the Treaty of Paris 1783. I have the right as a Freeman under the 1787 Constitution for the United States of America and the 1878 CONSTITUTION of the State of Washington to possess the title to my land. Greg Kimsey has denied me that right on several occasions. I have updated and brought forth the Land Patent according to land patent law. The land patent is an unbreakable contract between the United States of America and all assignees to the piece of land that this land patent represents. I am the sole and last assignee to the piece of property in question. Attachments 1,2,4

Greg Kimsey has refused to follow contract law and continually refuses to recognize that my land has had the land patent legally updated into my name and that it should be removed from the county records as taxable land. He continually denies that it is his responsibility to recognize my land title, but will not tell me where to go to accomplish county recognition and deletion from the county tax roles. His only suggestion is for me to take this to court.

The sanctity of the contract is spelled out in the Constitution for the United States in Article 1, Section 10. Then under the 1878 CONSTITUTION of the State of Washington Article II, section 3 states that the title of the land shall remain in the hands of the sovereign people of the State of Washington. Once the Land Patent contract is completed by the United States of America, it is signed by the President of the United States of America releasing all claims to the land in question to the claimant, his/her heirs and assignees named as fee simple titled owner of the land that the land patent describes. The United States Constitution gives the court jurisdiction in Article 1, Section X of the Constitution for the United States. No state laws or federal laws can overcome the land patent title contract, as expressed in both above constitutions, when the land patent has been brought forward and belongs to a sovereign freeman. *Attachments 2,4*

27 || Attac

28

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

3

4

5

6

1

7 8

10 11

9

12

13

14

15 16

17

18 19

20

21

22 23

24 25

26

27

28

I have made him aware that Government officials must have a monetary or proprietary interest in the real property in question in order to have jurisdiction over it. 42 USC 1982,1983. I have asked him to show me where the county or state has the title to my Land. He will not answer my questions. He told me to take him to court as stated in one of his letters.

Attachments 18.19.20

I have inspected the county records and the procedures used to record sales of land within Clark County. The county does not give any information now or has it ever informed land owners as to how to bring the land patent title forward so that the new owner will have the title in his or her name. I have come to the conclusion that either through the negligence or malice of forethought Greg Kimsey and previous auditors conspired to keep this information from the people. In either case the outcome is the same, the land owners unknowingly loose their property and rights to the state. The county is committing fraud by not explaining that the land patent title needs to be updated by each new owner. Without the correct information the people accept the deed as title. These are known as lies of omission. Through ignorance and by inaction of the people, the County effectively takes ownership of the land. Therefore, they feel that the county and state have the right to tax the deeded land holder, since he is effectively the renter of the land from the county. All the while the deeded land owner believes that the deed and title are the same, thus the people in their ignorance believe that they possess the title. Through statute law persons cannot update the title in their names. President Roosevelt under statute law signed into law senate document 43 in 1933 declaring that all property belongs to the state. People not knowing that they signed away their Freeman status when signing into the UNITED STATES corporation via the social security agreement. That is why the Freeman, once his status in known, has the right under the original 1787 Constitution for the United States to get the land patent title back under common law. The state and county governments depend upon the ignorance of the persons in the state and county so that the county can retain the title to the land under the color of law.

Attachment 2

My termination declaration has been recorded in superior court # 08 2 02745 1, and the county records office. In short, I am no longer a trust account in the UNITED STATES corporation. All persons in the corporation have trust accounts in the corporation. Freeman are Non resident aliens to the corporation of the UNITED STATES, but still sovereigns of the United States of America. A Freeman belongs to the United States of America mentioned in the 1787 Constitution for the United States of America. The UNITED STATES corporation was voted into existence by an act of congress in 1871 under statute law not the common law of the Freeman. Only a freeman can proceed to bring the land patent contract forward into his or her name. Once filed properly the land patent is now perfected. The land patent is and has always been the highest form of title in the country and no government can set it aside, except through certain

circumstances. The law has defended the land patent though out our history. *Attachment 21*

The following Land Patent cases describe the rulings of the court in land patent cases as recent as 1984. Also note that item "I" quotes UCC 42 that defines that the state must have a monetary or proprietary interest in the real property in question in order to have jurisdiction over it.

- A) Land Patent is supreme title to the land. In Summa Corp. v. California ex rel. State Lands Comm'n 466 US 198, the United States Supreme court ruled that the Land Patent would always win over any other form of title. Summa v. California, 466 US. 198 (1984); Bovey-Shute Lumber Co. v. Erickson, 41 N.d. 365, 170 NW. 628,630; McCarty v. Helbling, Or. 356, 144 P. 499,503. Stella Hughes v, State of Washington 389 US 290 (1967) The U.S. Land Patent prevails over State Constitution. Property rights are paramount. Not only does the U.S. Constitution protect property rights but, the laws of the Federal Government do also.
- B) The only place for covenants is in the patent, all others are collateral. US v. Coronado Beach. 255 US 472. (28 March 1921)
- C) After exclusive jurisdiction over lands within a state have been ceded to the United States, private property located thereon is not subject to taxation by the state, nor can the state statutes enacted subsequent to the transfer have any operation therein. Surplus Trading Company v. Cook, 281 US 647; Western Union Telegraph Co v. Chiles 214 US 274; Arlington Hotel v. Fant 278 US 439; Pacific Coast Dairy v. Department of Agriculture, 318 US 285.
- D) Evidence of title. Carter v. Spenser, 5 Miss. (4 How) 42.56, 34 AM Dec,106. Highest evidence of title, 2US, 525 171 Ed 765; US v. Stone, 43 USC 15, NZ Validity of the Land Patent.
 Land Patents are issues (and theoretically passed) between sovereigns. Deeds are executed by a "person" and private corporations without sovereign powers. Leading Fighter v. County of Gregory 230NW 2nd. 114.116 (1975)
- E) Interest in the Soil Richardson v. Brewer 81 Ind. 108 (1881)
- F) Terra-Tenant This defined as being the owner of the legal estate as distinguished from the equitable estate. 2 BL. Com 91, 238. The legal estate is the estate of the sovereign who holds perfect absolute allodial title 2 Bl. Com 91.238.
- G) The land patent is permanent and cannot be changed by the government its issuance except in cases of fraud or clerical error. A statute of limitations applies. Beard v. Federy, 70 US. 478, 3 Wall, 18 L. Ed. 88 (1865).

- H) Congress declares the land patent superior conclusive evidence of legal title. Langdon v. Sherwood, 124 US 74 (1888); Pueblo of Santa Ana v. Baca (CA10NM) 844 F2d 708; Whaley v. Wotring (Fla App D1) 225 So 2nd 177; Dugas v. Powell, 228 La 478, 84 So 2nd 177 (quote at 28AM Jur 2D F 2549. Note: In this case a particular individual assignee.
- Government officials must have a monetary or proprietary interest in the real property in question in order to have jurisdiction over it. 42 USC 1982,1983.
- J) The Land Patent and the act of congress spells out the jurisdiction of the federal government has on real property. Shaare TeFila Congregation v. Cobb, 481 US. 615 (1987)
- K) Freeholder One having title to realty. State ex rel. Peterson v. City of Fraser, 191 Minn. 427, 254 NW 776. Person who posses a freehold estate. Shively v. Lankford, 174 Mo. 535, 74 S.W. 835
- L) Legal or equitable title. Daniels v. Fossas, 152 Wash. 516, 278 P. 412, 413.
- M) Fee simple A Fee simple absolute is an estate limited absolutely to a man and his heirs and assigns forever without limitation or condition. Rathbun v. State, 284 Mich. 521, 280 NW 35.
- N) A Patent of the United States under the authority of law, is the highest Evidence of title, something upon which its holder can rely for peace and security it his possession. It is conclusive evidence of title against the United States and all the world. 2 The American Law of Mining, 1.29 at 357. Nichols v Rysavy, (S.D. 1985) 610 F. Supp. 1245.
- M) Senate Doc 43, dated 1933 Takes away land rights of all persons, not sovereigns. It takes away the title and property rights from corporate citizens (persons) of the corporation of the UNITED STATES.

Greg Kimsey has continued to deny me my constitutional rights (contract rights) under the original 1878 Constitution of the State of Washington, Article 2, Section 3. The defendant Greg Kimsey owes a duty of care to the plaintiff and to the rule of law; that the defendant breached that duty; and that, as a result, the plaintiff suffered damages. Attachment 4

Greg Kimsey on April 28, 2009 AD stated that the 1878 constitution was never approved by the US Congress. The 1878 Constitution of the State of Washington guarantees each sovereign his sovereignty and title to his land. The basis of the laws for the United States of America and the State of Washington are all based on common law contracts. Once a contract is in place, it cannot be ignored. There are critical steps in the law that need to be followed to cancel any contract. The first constitution for the state of Washington was the first legal contract with the people of the future state of Washington. To replace it legally, the government has to essentially cancel the contract with the people and the people have to sign the cancellation. If both parties to the contract do not sign the cancellation, the contract is still in full force. Therefore, the 1878 CONSTITUTION of the State of Washington is in full force today. *Attachments 4,18*

I have attached a copy of the enabling act Dated February 22, 1889 AD that made Washington a state. I have attached a copy of the 1889 CONSTITUTION OF THE STATE OF WASHINGTON, which is dated August 22, 1889. It is amazing that since the 1889 CONSTITUTION was signed in August, how could 1889 CONSTITUTION have been used to make Washington a state in the previous February? I have also included a copy of the January 28th 1889, 50th Congress, 2nd session, Misc. Doc. 55. *Attachments 9,10,11*,

On January 3rd 1889 in the city of Ellensburg the State hood committee made up of sovereign concerned freeman met to ratify sending Mr. Voorhees to present the 1878 constitution to the United States Congress. This was not a sanctioned committee of the Washington Territorial government. This was a group of concerned citizens that felt that the Territorial Governor was trying to pull a fast one on the people, by not resubmitting the current contract with the people, the 1878 CONSTITUTION of the State of Washington to the United States Congress. This would effectively give the Governor a chance to put together an illegal constitutional convention. This legally shows the 1878 CONSTITUTION of the State of Washington as presented by Mr. Voorhees on January 28, 1889 AD and published into US senate record was actually presented by the people and not the territorial governor. *Attachments 4,7,9*

On January 24th 1889 another committee met in Seattle Washington and sent a letter to the Territorial governor urging him to convene his own illegal constitutional convention to replace the 1878 constitution with a constitution that took allodial title and sovereignty from the people. This letter was signed by HC Wilmarth of Vancouver, Washington on behalf of the Admission to the Union of States committee. This was the other private committee that wanted all mention of sovereignty and title removed from the constitution.

Attachment 8

DC.

The controversy goes even further. Operating by the rules of the contract of the first 1878 constitution, another constitutional convention can only be convened by the legislature, per article XVI of the 1878 Constitution. The legislature would not be in session until the following January 1890 AD. So, the Territorial Governor illegally and against the law enumerated in the current constitutional contract, convened his own illegal convention on July 4th 1889 AD and ending August 22nd 1889. Keep in mind the state of Washington had already existed since February 22nd by the submission of the 1878 Constitution. This alone would rule the 1889 CONSTITUTION unconstitutional.

Attachments 4,10,11,12

The fraud of the Territorial government of the new State of Washington continues. The county keeps referring to the 1889 Constitution that they have fraudulently used for over a hundred years and it has never been correctly accepted as stated in article XVI of the 1878 Constitution. The official law of the United States Congress dated December 3, 1888 was the ACT to divide The Dakotas into two states and combine with Montana and Washington. In the case of the Dakotas, it was to divide into two states with each its own constitution. At that time Montana and Washington already had submitted constitutions, only the Dakotas needed another constitution. Unfortunately, since this was a new congress looking into state hood, the states had to resubmit their constitutions. This is the point that the Territorial Governor decided to try to submit another constitution. The problem he had was that the free people of Washington decided to take the initiative and send the 1878 constitution to Washington

Attachments 4,6,

For over 121 years, it has not been common knowledge that there are actually two Constitutions in the history of the State of Washington. One was done legally and the other illegally and the 1878 CONSTITUTION is as legal today as it was in 1889 when submitted to the congress of the United States. The first was ratified by the people of the Territory of Washington on November 5th in 1878. This constitution was properly signed and promptly entered into the public record. On January 28th, 1889, the 1878 constitution was presented to the United States Senate for inclusion into the union and printed in the federal register. *Attachments 4,5,9*

There was about a 10 year span between when the 1878 Constitution was presented to the Federal government for joining the union and when it was actually accepted into the union in February 22nd, 1889. This delay was caused by a democratically controlled congress that didn't want republican states like Washington in the union. *Attachment 10*

On January 24th, 1889 AD, more than 10 years after the first constitution was presented to the union and printed for approval, Mr. H. C. Wilmarth of the committee for the Admission to the United States wrote to the Washington Territorial Governor, urgently requesting that he call for a constitutional convention to create another state constitution, knowing full well that Washington Territory already had a constitution. There were people in the state of Washington that did not want sovereign rights and titles left in the hands of the people. The illegal constitutional convention was called because there wasn't time to have the legislature call for a legal constitutional convention, because the Washington legislature wouldn't be lawfully meeting again until after the US congress would have passed the enabling act. They knew that Mr. Voorhees had already submitted the 1878 Constitution to the US Congress. Mr. Wilmarth was desperately trying to meet a timetable. He wanted a new constitution in place before the Territorial governor would be forced to announce statehood. This way the people of Washington would never know that an illegal constitution had been switched with the legal constitution. *Attachment* 8

Newspaper articles and correspondence of the day show discussions between Mr. Metcalf, the Attorney General, and Mr. Semple, the Territorial Governor concerning whether or not the Governor could lawfully call a constitutional convention by executive proclamation. Mr. Metcalf the attorney general argued that this clearly could not be done because the executive doesn't represent the people and thus cannot have this power, besides the 1878 Constitution expressly states that only the legislature can call a constitutional convention.

Nevertheless, Mr. Wilmarth and friends convinced the governor to hold an illegal constitutional convention. It began, conveniently on the centennial of the United States Constitution, July 4th, 1889 AD in Olympia Washington despite the fact that it was never lawfully called for per the original 1878 constitutional contract Article XV. The unconstitutional 1889 constitution was ready for the governor's announcement on November 11th 1889, that the Washington was now a state. *Attachments 4,11,12*,

On that very same night, July 4th, 1889 AD of the convening of the illegal new constitutional convention a huge fire in Ellensburg wiped out the heart of the city. Ellensburg was the site of the Statehood convention on January 3rd, 1889 AD where anxious delegates gave authority to Mr. Voorhees to proceed to Washington DC to start the final steps to get Washington accepted as a new state under the 1878 constitution. Someone wanted all traces of the Statehood committee erased from the official record. Now that a Republican President, Benjamin Harrison, had been elected, it seemed everyone was ready to get the ball rolling again. *Attachment* 7

In March of 1888, Walla Walla had a similar fire. Walla Walla was the site of the original constitution convention and was the place where many of the records about that constitution were stored. On June 4th, 1889 AD, Seattle had another similar fire. In all these fires, critical historical records were destroyed. In all these fires, mysterious things happened, such as the failure of critical water systems, making it impossible to save the public records of the day. By August 22nd, 1889 AD, the second constitution was completed in Olympia.

On August 27th 1889 Territorial Governor Miles Moore Proclaimed the 1889 constitution ready to be voted upon. On October 1st, 1889 the Territory of Washington had a special election to accept the new

Constitution. The governor proceeded to hold this special election contrary to the contract of the 1878 constitution. The ballot reflects there feelings of the time. The ballot did not specify the constitution that was being voted upon, nor did it have a space to vote against the 1878 constitution. Therefore, they illegally voted to have an illegal constitution in addition to the legal 1878 constitution. *Attachment 13. 14*

On November 4th 1889 the Territorial Governor sends a copy of the new illegal constitution to the United States of America, not to the senate or the house. It was never received by either house and was filed away in the archives in the Washington box in the National Archives. Therefore, it was never recognized by the US Congress nor was it read into the Federal register. Notice that this is a printed copy that was not signed and was marked proposed. The Congress could not act on an unsigned copy or a proposed constitution.

Attachment 15

On November 11th 1889 President Harrison Proclaimed Washington Territory a State in the Union was a state since February 22nd 1889. The Governor elect declares Washington a state the same day that the President wrote his letter of proclamation.

Attachment 16

Finally, 11 years after the second convention was completed, the 1889 constitution was filed into the public record around 1900, illegally substituted for the previous constitution. No one would question that the authenticity of the 1889 Constitution since it matched the year of statehood. The filed Journal of the 1889 convention copy shows all 75 of the signatures were written in the same handwriting!

Finally, in 1957, the RCW (Revised Code of Washington) created a volume 0 and published the 1889 constitution and this has been used as the official constitution of the state ever since. The fraud was now complete.

Not only has a fraudulent constitution been passed off as the real one, but the two constitutions differ in some significant ways. The older one guaranteed sovereignty to the individual and allodial land title to its inhabitants. That means that it prohibited property taxes and other liens on our property. It also prohibited any person, or association of persons, from occupying more that one branch of the government at the same time. It also stated that Common Law would be the law of the Constitution. The key protections for the people are not in the 1889 constitution. Both constitutions are quite similar in most respects but the order of the 1889 one is completely different than that of the 1878 constitution. It is as if some minor fixes were wanted but not in a way that anyone would notice. *Attachment 4*

We are still a nation of laws and as such when a fraud is committed upon the people there are no statutes of limitations for correcting the problem in common law. Just because a fraud has gone on for over 100 years does not mean that the fraud once found cannot not be corrected. My rights have been taken away by fraud and deceit.

The time line is confusing, therefore the following is a summary of the time line.

1. September 3, 1783 Treaty of Paris, King of England grants sovereignty to the new States.

2. September 17, 1787 Constitution for the United States of America is finished.

- 3. August 3rd, 1878 The journal for the constitutional convention held in the town of Walla Walla is signed and dated, Constitution then submitted to the Governor.
- 4. August 3, 1878. The 1878 Constitution of the State of Washington was signed by the Govenor.
- 5. November 5th 1878 Abstract of the returns of general elections held in the Territory of Washington including acceptance of the constitutional contract with the people.
- 6. December 3 1888 AD. 50th Congress 2nd session, Act to give instruction to the Dakotas, Montana, Territory of Washington to present constitutions to the congress to show republican form of government.
- 7. January 3rd, 1889 AD, Statehood convention. This was held in Ellensburg by sovereign freeman to ratify sending Mr. Voorhees to Washington DC to submit the 1878 Constitution of the State of Washington to congress to be written into the federal registry. The people took it upon themselves to submit the correct constitution to the U S Congress. This should have been done by the Territorial Governor. As you can see there was a difference between the Territorial Government and the Free people of Washington. Notice that this did not refer to the 1889 CONSTITUTION OF THE STATE OF WASHINGTON. That convention would not even convene until July 4th 1889AD.
- 8. January 24th, 1889 Mr. H.C. Wilmarth of the committee for the admission to the United States wrote a letter to the Washington Territorial Governor urgently requesting that he call for a constitutional convention to create another state constitution. Knowing full well that Mr. Voorhees was about to submit the 1878 constitution to congress.
- 9. January 28th 1889 AD. 50th Congress 2nd session, Misc. Doc. No. 55 Washington Territory's Mr. Voorhees presents the 1878 constitution to congress.
- 10. February 22nd 1889 Act of congress that creates the State of Washington. **Washington is officially a state.**
- 11. August 22, 1889 the conclusion of a constitutional convention started in July, illegally instigated by the new governor Miles Moore. The new constitution among other things removes Article 2 from the constitution. That article, among other things guarantees that the people retain their individual sovereignty and title to their lands.
- 12. August 27th 1889 AD Proclamation that announces that there will be a special election on October 1st 1889.
- 13. October 1st 1889 AD Ballot for the special election.
- 14. November 4th 1889 AD Governor Miles Moore sends a copy of the Proposed constitution to the United States of America, not to the Congress of the United States. As we all know, it is common sense that the address

has to have an organization such as the House or the Senate. Mr. Voorhees personally presented the 1878 constitution. If he had done the same thing with the fraudulent constitution, the congress would have taken notice and asked if the previous constitutional contract was correctly voided. This is clearly a fraud to the people of the State of Washington that has been

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

- allowed to stand for over 100 years.

 15. November 11th 1889 AD President Harrison proclaims Washington a State.

 16. November 11th 1889 AD Governor Miles Moore declares Washington a State, but we were already a state since February 22nd 1889 why did he not declare Washington a state as of February 22, as the president of the United States expressed in his letter.
- 17. January 2nd 2007 AD Greg Kimsey's oath of office to the Constitution of the United States and the State of Washington.
- 18. April 28th 2009 AD Response letter from Greg Kimsey. Denying that the 1878 Constitution was the Constitution used to give statehood to
- 19. May 13th 2009 AD Response letter from Greg Kimsey restating that he believes that the 1889 constitution is the only one to use.
- 20. June 4th 2009 AD Response letter from Greg Kimsey about procedure to not inform the public about updating the land patent.
- 21. July 10th 2009 AD Response telling David Darby to go to court if he wants any action from him.

I have attached copies of Greg Kimsey's oath of office. Please note that in the oath it lists the state of Washington that he has sworn to uphold. I have included the illegal 1889 CONSTITUTION OF THE STATE OF WASHINGTON so that the difference between titles can be detected. On the oath of office The State of Washington is in lower case. The 1878 CONSTITUTION of the State of Washington is printed with the State of Washington in lower case except for the first letter. If you compare the 1889 CONSTITUTION OF THE STATE OF WASHINGTON, you will notice that the title is in all caps. Every thing means something in law and contracts. Actually the point is mute as to which constitution Greg Kimsey is giving his oath to uphold. The reason being under his oath the 1878 Constitution is still in full force. He may think that he is giving his oath to the 1889 constitution, but the only legal one in force is the 1878 constitution. Therefore, Greg Kimsey has given his oath of office to the 1878 CONSTITUTION and as such broken the contract with the people. He has also failed to uphold Article 1, Section 10 of the Constitution for the United States. He failed to uphold the contract of the land patent by refusing to acknowledge the land patent and removing the land described in the patent from the county tax rolls as is his duty as the registrar of land titles. Attachments 4,11,17

The following is a list of attachments:

September 3rd 1873, Treaty of Paris Article 1.

	1
	2
	3
	4
	5
	6
	7
	8
	9
1	0
1	1
1	2
1	3
1	4
1	5
1	6
1	7
1	8
1	9
2	0
2	1
2	2
2	3
2	4
2	5
2	6

- (2) September 17,1787 Constitution for the United States.
- (3) August 3rd 1878 The journal for the constitutional convention held in the town of Walla Walla is signed and dated,
- (4) August 3rd 1878 The Constitution of the State of Washington was signed by the governor.
- (5) November 5th 1878 The abstract of the returns of the general election held in the Territory of Washington
- (6) December 3, 1888 AD. 50th Congress, 2nd Session, Act to bring North and South Dakota, Montana, and Washington into the union
- (7) January 3rd, 1889 AD Statehood convention held in Ellensburg.
- (8) January 24th 1889 Mr Wilmarth of the committee for the admission to the United States wrote a letter to the Territorial Governor.
- (9) January 28,1889, 50th Congress 2nd session Misc. Doc. No. 55
- (10) February 22, 1889 Act of congress to form the four states.
- (11) August 22,1889 AD CONSTITUTION OF THE STATE OF WASHINGTON. Convention is finished. Title page and certificate page with date.
- (12) August 22nd 1889 the fraudulent 1889 CONSTITUTION of the STATE OF WASHINGTON IS CERTIFIED.
- (13) August 27th 1889 AD Proclamation that calls for an election and describes the election and date.
- (14) October 1st 1889 AD Ballots for the special election.
- (15) November 4th 1889 AD Governor Miles Moore sends a copy of the proposed CONSTITUTION OF THE STATE OF WASHINGTON was sent to the United States of America.
- (16) November 11th 1889 President Harrison finally declares Washington a state.
- (17) January 2nd 2007 AD Greg Kimsey's oath of office to the Constitution of the United States and the State of Washington.
- (18) April 28th 2009 AD Response letter from Greg Kimsey. Denying that the 1878 Constitution was the Constitution used to give statehood to Washington.
- (19) May 13th 2009 AD Response letter from Greg Kimsey restating that he believes that the 1889 constitution is the only one to use.
- (20) June 4th 2009 AD Response letter from Greg Kimsey about procedure to not inform the public about updating the land patent.
- (21) January 1st 2008 Notice of Termination of trust agreement in the Corporation of the UNITED STATES.

D. Prayer for Relief

The plaintiff asks the court to correct Greg Kimsey's broken oath by directing him to acknowledge David A. Darby's title by removing the piece of land in question from the county registry and remove the tax number from the county records and to uphold his oath to both the 1787 Constitution for the United States and the 1878 CONSTITUTION of the State of Washington. I ask that the court direct Greg Kimsey to forfit his bond and that the County returns all tax money taken after the date of purchase of the land. Direct my piece of land to be listed as Fee simple in county The court is obligated to recognize that all the state of Washington was accepted into the Union under the 1878 CONSTITUTION of the State of Washington. The court is obligated to rule that the 1889 CONSTITUTION OF THE STATE OF WASHINGTON did not follow the original contract with the people (1878 CONSTITUTION of the State of Washington). The 1889 CONSTITUTION OF THE STATE OF WASHINGTON is therefore and illegal contract and is therefore null and void. DECLARATION UNDER PENALTY OF PERJURY The undersigned declares under penalty of perjury that he/she is the plaintiff in the above action, that he/she has read the above complaint, and this information is the truth, the whole truth and nothing but the truth, so help me god. Executed at Amboy, Washington Date: 21 January, 2010 AD. Signature Witness's to signature